

### Steps taken regarding the upliftment of Scheduled and Backward Classes.

Q.—97. Sri H. K. SIDDAIYA (Belur—Scheduled Castes).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether they have taken any steps regarding the upliftment of the people like Hakkisikars, Mannuvoddas, Sudugadusiddas, Gosaies, Budubudikes, Maripujaries, Dombars and Gomberamas who are wandering without any habitation whatsoever in the Vishala Mysore State;

(b) whether they have collected statistics regarding the number of such people in our Vishala Mysore State?

A.—Sri R. CHENNIGARAMIAH (Minister for Local Self-Government).—

(a) The people belonging to Mannuvoddas, Sudugadusiddas, Budubudikes and Dombars are either included under the scheduled castes or tribes or Backward classes and are afforded the benefits under the amelioration scheme. The classification of Hakkisikars, and Gosaies is not found in the list of Scheduled Castes and tribes and Backward Classes.

(b) Efforts are being made to collect the statistics.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಕೆ. ಸಿದ್ದಯ್ಯ.—ಮೈಸೂರು ದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಮನೆಮಠವಲ್ಲದೆ ಅಲೆದಾಡುತ್ತಿರುವ ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಪಿಕ್ಕಾರಿ ಯವರು, ಬುಡುಬುಡುಕೆಯವರು ಇಂಥ ಜನರನ್ನೆಲ್ಲ ಒಟ್ಟುಗೂಡಿಸಿ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಮನೆಮಠ ಕಟ್ಟಿಸಿಕೊಡುವುದು, ಜಮೀನು ಕೊಡುವುದು ಈ ರೀತಿಯ ಪರಿಹಾರವನ್ನು ಕೊಡುವ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಏನಾದರೂ ಯೋಚನೆ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೀರಾ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಆರ್. ಚೆನ್ನಿಗರಾಮಯ್ಯ.—ಈಗ 41ನೆಯ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡುತ್ತಾ ಪೆಡ್ಲಾರ್ ಪಂಗಡಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವ ಯಾವ ಜನರು ಬರುತ್ತಾರೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದೆ. ಅಂಥವರಿಗೆ ಮಾತ್ರ ಈಗ ಮನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕಟ್ಟಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ, ವಿದ್ಯಾಭ್ಯಾಸಕ್ಕೆ ಕೆಲವು ಅನುಕೂಲಗಳನ್ನು ಒದಗಿಸಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಆ ಲೆಸ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಬರದೆ ಇರುವಂಥವರಿಗೆ ಏನೂ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ತಾವು ಹೇಳಿದ ಸಲಹೆ ಸಾಧುವಾದದ್ದು. ಅದನ್ನು ಮುಂದೆ ಆಲೋಚನೆ ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಎಫ್. ಬೋರಣ್ಣಗೌಡ.—ಬುಡುಬುಡುಕೆಯವರು, ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಪಿಕ್ಕಾರಿಯವರು ಮುಂತಾದವರನ್ನೆಲ್ಲ ಪೆಡ್ಲಾರ್ ಪಂಗಡದಲ್ಲಿ ಸೇರಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಆರ್. ಚೆನ್ನಿಗರಾಮಯ್ಯ.—ಆ ಲೆಸ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಇಲ್ಲದೇ ಇರುವವರನ್ನು ಸೇರಿಸಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಎಫ್. ಬೋರಣ್ಣಗೌಡ.—ಅವರುಗಳೆಲ್ಲ ಒಂದು ಕಡೆಯಿಂದ ಮತ್ತೊಂದು ಕಡೆಗೆ ಹೋಗುತ್ತಿರು

ತ್ತಾರೆ. ಜಮೀನು ಇರುವ ಕಡೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಜಮೀನು ಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೇಳಿದರೆ ಕೊಡಲಾಗುತ್ತದೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಆರ್. ಚೆನ್ನಿಗರಾಮಯ್ಯ.—ಜಮೀನು ಇರುವ ಕಡೆ ಕೇಳಿದರೆ ಕೊಡಬೇಕು. ಆದರೆ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಕೊಡುತ್ತೇವೆಂದರೆ ಅವರು ಒಂದುಕಡೆ ಹೋಗಿ ನೆರೆನುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

### Handlooms and Powerlooms

Q.—128. Sri S. R. NAGAPPA SETTY (Shimoga).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) the number of handlooms that are working in the State in the year 1956;

(b) the number of powerlooms that are working in the State in 1956;

(c) the number of powerlooms that are going to be introduced in the State and recommended to the Government of India;

(d) the policy of the Government about increasing the powerlooms in the State;

(e) whether the Handloom Advisory Board of Mysore was consulted in the matter of increasing the powerlooms?

A.—Sri JAGANNATH RAO CHANDRIKI (Minister for Excise, Rural Industries and Labour).—

(a) 1,65,903.

(b) About 7,000.

(c) A proposal for the installation of one thousand powerlooms was sent to the Government of India after consulting Weavers, Societies by the former Government.

(d) The present Government have not taken a decision on this question.

(e) No.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ನಾಗಪ್ಪಪ್ಪೆಟ್ಟಿ.—1,65,903 ಮಗ್ಗುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ನೇಕಾರರು ಪೂರ್ತಿ ಕಾಲ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾರೆಯೇ, ಅಥವಾ ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ಕಾಲ ಮಾತ್ರ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾರೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಗನ್ನಾಥರಾವ್ ಚಂದ್ರಿಕಿ.—ಎಷ್ಟು ಜನ ನೇಕಾರರು ರಿಜಿಸ್ಟರ್ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದಾರೆಯೋ ಆ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದೆ. ಅವರು ಪೂರ್ತಿ ಕಾಲ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾರೆಯೇ ಇಲ್ಲವೇ ಎಂಬ ವಿವರಗಳು ನಮಗಿಲ್ಲ.

Sri K. P. GADAG.—Whether the Weavers' Societies or the Advisory Board have been consulted to express their opinion regarding the installation of power looms?

Sri JAGANNATH RAO CHANDRIKI.—Definitely they all ought to be consulted because they are interested in the matter.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ನಾಗಪ್ಪ ಶೆಟ್ಟಿ.—ಪವರ್ ಲೂಮು ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಮಾಡುವುದನ್ನು ಮದರಾಸ್ ಮತ್ತು ಆಂಧ್ರ ಸರ್ಕಾರಗಳು ರಿಜೆಕ್ಟ್ (reject) ಮಾಡಿರುವುದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಗನ್ನಾಥರಾವ್ ಚಂದ್ರಿಕಿ.—ಮದರಾಸು ಮತ್ತು ಆಂಧ್ರ ಸರ್ಕಾರಗಳ ವಿಚಾರ ನಮಗೆ ಗೊತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ. ನಮ್ಮ ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಮಾಡಿದೆ. ಮುಂದೆ ಯಾವ ನೀತಿಯನ್ನು ಅನುಸರಿಸಬೇಕೆಂಬುದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇವೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ನಾಗಪ್ಪ ಶೆಟ್ಟಿ.—ಒಂದು ಪವರ್ ಲೂಂ ಹಾಕುವುದರಿಂದ ಹತ್ತು ಜನ ನೇಕಾರರ ಕೆಲಸ ಹಾಳಾಗುತ್ತದೆ ಎನ್ನುವುದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಗನ್ನಾಥರಾವ್ ಚಂದ್ರಿಕಿ.—ಈ ವಿಷಯ ವನ್ನೂ ಜಮನಕ್ಕೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಮುಂದಿನ ಪಾಲಿಸಿ ಏನೆಂದು ನಿರ್ಣಯ ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ನಾಗಪ್ಪ ಶೆಟ್ಟಿ.—ಡೈರೆಕ್ಟರವರು ಹ್ಯಾಂಡ್‌ಲೂಮ್ ಅಡ್ವೈಜರಿ ಬೋರ್ಡ್ ಆದವಾ ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಇವೆರಡರಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವುದರ ಸಲಹೆಯನ್ನೂ ಕೇಳದೆ ಕೇಂದ್ರ ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಮೈಸೂರು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿಹಾಗೆ ಪತ್ರಬರೆದಿರುವುದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಗನ್ನಾಥರಾವ್ ಚಂದ್ರಿಕಿ.—ಹಿಂದೆ ಹಾಗೆ ಆಗಿದೆ. ಇನ್ನು ಮುಂದೆ ಅಡ್ವೈಸರಿ ಬೋರ್ಡಿನ ಸಲಹೆ ಕೇಳಿ ಅನಂತರ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮ ತೆಗೆದು ಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತಾರೆ.

Sri K. P. GADAG.—Whether these Weavers' Societies or the Advisory Board will be asked to express their opinion?

Sri JAGANNATH RAO CHANDRIKI.—We will limit it to the Advisory Board and not to the individual societies.

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಎಫ್. ಮೂಡಲಗಿರಿಗೌಡ.—ಪವರ್ ಲೂಂ ಬಟ್ಟೆ ಮತ್ತು ಹ್ಯಾಂಡ್‌ಲೂಂ ಬಟ್ಟೆ ಇವೆರಡರ ಮಾರಾಟವನ್ನು ಹೋಲಿಸಿ ನೋಡಿದರೆ ಹ್ಯಾಂಡ್‌ಲೂಂ ಬಟ್ಟೆಯ ಬರೀದಿ ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಎನ್ನುವುದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—That is outside the scope of the question.

Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.—May I know, Sir, whether the Handloom Advisory Board is existing in the Mysore State?

Sri JAGANNATH RAO CHANDRIKI.—It has to be reconstituted.

Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.—May I know Sir, whether it is a fact that the Government of India has taken a decision regarding the Advisability of introducing power looms?

Sri JAGANNATH RAO CHANDRIKI.—I told the Hon'ble Member that the previous Government had agreed to it and that it was for the present Government to decide whether any powerlooms should be encouraged or not.

ಶ್ರೀ ಆರ್. ಅನಂತರಾಮ್.—ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ನಗರದಲ್ಲಿ ಪವರ್ ಲೂಂ ಹಾಕುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ವಿದ್ಯುಚ್ಛಕ್ತಿ ಯನ್ನು ಕೊಡಕೂಡದು ಎಂದು ತಾವೇನಾದರೂ ನಿರ್ಧಾರ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೀರಾ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—That is also outside the scope of the main question.

Sri S. D. KOTHAWALE.—May I know Sir, whether the figures given in the answer are in respect of the old Mysore State or the new Mysore State?

Sri JAGANNATH RAO CHANDRIKI.—That is in respect of the new Mysore State.

Sri V. T. MAGADI.—May I know whether the Government have utilised the amount provided by the Central Government?

Sri JAGANNATH RAO CHANDRIKI.—Notice please.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ನಾಗಪ್ಪ ಶೆಟ್ಟಿ.—ಅಂಬರ್ ಚರಕಗಳನ್ನು introduce ಮಾಡುವುದರಿಂದ ಈ ಚರಕದ ನೂಲು ಅನೇಕರಿಗೆ ಸಿಕ್ಕಬಾರದು ಎಂದು ಪವರ್ ಲೂಂಗಳನ್ನು introduce ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಮನಸ್ಸು ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆಯೇ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—That is also outside the scope of the main question.

Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.—Have the Government of India decided to introduce power looms in this country?

Sri JAGANNATH RAO CHANDRIKI.—I want notice Sir. I have already informed the Hon'ble Member that the previous Government took a decision to encourage the power looms and the Government of India have also agreed to that. Whether we should encourage it in Mysore or not is a matter which is under the consideration of Government and the Government will take a decision in that behalf.

Sri V. T. MAGADI.—May I know whether the Bombay Government rejected the introduction of power looms?

Mr. SPEAKER.—That is also outside the scope of the main question.

Sri JAGANNATH RAO CHANDRIKI.—Sir, I am sorry, that this question has nothing to do with my

(SRI JAGANNATHA RAO CHANDRIKI.) portfolio. It comes under major industries and Sri Poonacha will be able to answer this question. This question has been wrongly printed in my name and I am not aware of the facts so far as this question is concerned.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I would like to suggest one thing. As the notice of questions is not going to lapse, I would state that this question will be held over and will be taken up during the next session.

Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.—Part (c) of the question refers to the establishment of factories on co-operative basis. The Hon'ble Minister for Co-operation is here and I think he will be able to throw some light on this question. I want to ask supplementaries in respect of this question.

Mr. SPEAKER.—It concerns two Ministries; and when two Ministries are concerned and when it is addressed to a certain Minister, the other Minister does not look into it. That is exactly what happens elsewhere also. That is why I have ordered this question to be held over and it will be taken up during the next session.

Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.—I may only submit Sir, that things may happen which might set the course of events in the wrong way. That is why I request you to kindly allow the Hon'ble Minister for Co-operation to answer that question.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—I have no objection to answer them provided I have the information with me.

Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.—On the south bank of Tungabhadra at Kampli, we have already progressed a great deal in establishing a sugar factory on co-operative basis. Will the Hon'ble Minister for Co-operation do all that he can to encourage the establishment of sugar factories on co-operative basis on the north of Tungabhadra under the Tungabhadra canal?

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—Certainly it would be our duty to see that these factories are established only on co-operative basis. In fact, some gentlemen from that area has been trying to establish such a factory and

the Government is trying to encourage them.

### Ministers' presence during question hour.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Before we go to the next item on the list of Business, I would like to make a few observations. It really pains me that I have to make observations in this respect. When questions were called, the Ministers were not present. On two occasions it happened like that. I would, therefore, make an earnest request to the Hon'ble Ministers to be here in time to reply to their questions. So far as Hon'ble Members are concerned if they are absent they will lose the opportunity of putting their questions. But if the Ministers are not present, it becomes a matter of serious concern. I would request the Hon'ble Ministers to try to be here in time to reply to the questions. If any Hon'ble Minister finds that he cannot be present, he may request his colleagues to reply to his questions. Today, one of the Hon'ble Ministers is not present here. I do not know if he is out of station. He ought to have taken care to see that some other Hon'ble Minister replied to his questions, but that has not been done. I say all this in the interest of decency, decorum and dignity of the House.

9 A. M.

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA (Minister for Education).—Sir, with your permission, I may point out that the answers are printed in the name of Sri Chandriki. Therefore, Sri Poonacha must have thought that he had no work here.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I am not referring to the Hon'ble Minister for Industries. It happened in the case of the Hon'ble Minister for Co-operation and the Hon'ble Minister for Education. It may be taken note of. When the questions were called, both the Hon'ble Ministers were not here.

Sri V. T MAGADI (Sirhatti).—May I know under which Department this question has been replied?